

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/036,247 | 10/036,247 12/27/2001 | | Christopher G. Ramsayer | 7000-084 | 5600 |
| 27820 | 7590 | 12/27/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| WITHROW | V & TERI | RANOVA, P.L.L.C | CHUNG, JI YONG DAVID | | |
| P.O. BOX 12 CARY, NC | - | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| , | | | | 2143 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 12/27/200 | 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | Applicant(s) | | |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 10/036,247 | RAMSAYER ET AL. | RAMSAYER ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| Ji-Yong D. Chung | 2143 | | | |

| | Ji-Yong D. Chung | 2143 | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>25 October 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or this property is the statutory period for reply expire I be a stat | wing replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mind date of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one in the final rejection, who date of the final rejecti | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following ichever is later. In on. | | | | | |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | 06.07(f). | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | nsideration and/or search (see NO ow); | TE below); | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | ected claims. | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | empliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | ll be entered and an e | explanation of | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affidate | vit or other evidence is | s necessary and | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). | | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attacl | ned. | | | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered by (see attached). | it does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | | | |
| 12. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 11/2/05,11/4/05 | | | | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | | | | | | | | |
| <i>\</i> | | DAVID WILEY | | | | | | |
| | | RVISORY PATENT EX CHNOLOGY CENTER | | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

يال بين بينه تم

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

11. (continued)

In the prior Amendment, Applicant has argued that there is no motivational support to combine the two references cited in the first Office Action. Applicant apparently advanced two reasons as to why the first Office Action did not provide proper motivations. In the Final Office Action, the Office has addressed each of the reasons.

In the After Final Amendment (in response to the Final Office Action), Applicant indicates that the Office has misunderstood Applicant's position in the Amendment, and that the problem with the original 35 U. S. C. 103 rejection is that there is no evidentiary support for the motivation.

The evidentiary support has been provided, with the specific citations, in the Amendment, as well as in the original action. The idea that a video capability and VoIP phone maybe combined has been presented in lines 14-16, paragraph 0072 of Kimchi and lines 15-18 in paragraph 0075 of Kimchi clearly suggests the general idea behind combining the relevant features in the prior art references.

APC